



**SNOHOMISH COUNTY YEAR 2009 BUDGET  
SUMMARY DETAIL**

**AS PRESENTED IN THE CHAIRMAN'S  
PROPOSED STRIKING AMENDMENT**

**November 17, 2008**

COUNCIL CHAIR SUBSTITUTE FOR PROPOSED  
ORDINANCE NO. 08-119

By Mr. Somers

1. Strike proposed Ordinance No. 08-119 and insert proposed Substitute Ordinance No. 08-119 as follows:

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL  
Snohomish County, Washington

PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE ORDINANCE NO. 08-119

ADOPTING THE 2009 ANNUAL BUDGET AND MAKING  
APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE OPERATION OF COUNTY AGENCIES  
AND DEPARTMENTS AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2009  
AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2009

WHEREAS, the 2006 Charter Review Commission proposed an amendment to the Snohomish County Charter to give the County Council discretion to budget either annually or biennially in accordance with state law, which amendment was approved by the electorate at the general election held on November 7, 2006; and

WHEREAS, the Council adopted Amended Ordinance No. 07-015 to provide for biennial budgets when consistent with the county election cycle and when authorized by separate ordinance adopted pursuant to the county charter, state law, and chapter 4.26 SCC; and

WHEREAS, by Amended Ordinance No. 08-004 the Council adopted SCC 4.26.017 to authorize the County to implement biennial budgeting beginning with the 2009-2010 biennium; and

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2008, the County Executive proposed a biennial budget for the 2009-2010 biennium based on revenue estimates for both years of the fiscal biennium; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive subsequently recommended that the Council make substantial reductions in 2009 revenue estimates, the need for which was confirmed by Council staff; and

WHEREAS, by Amended Emergency Ordinance No. 08-138 the Council determined that it would not be prudent or in the best interests of the County to adopt a biennial budget for the 2009-2010 biennium because the current economic crisis had resulted in unprecedented economic volatility and compromised the County's ability to make reliable revenue estimates; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 08-138 repealed SCC 4.26.017 thereby reverting to annual budgeting for the period beginning January 1, 2009, and thereafter as provided in SCC 4.26.015; and

WHEREAS, in addition to considering the Executive's proposed budget for the first year of the 2009-2010 biennium, the Council solicited and received advice and recommendations from members of the public and from other elected county officials representing the executive, legislative, and judicial branches of county government; and

WHEREAS, the Council has endeavored to estimate and allocate county revenues for the 2009 fiscal year in a manner that is informed, collaborative, and mindful of economic realities, and provides reasonable funding for the fundamental and essential functions of each elected county official and each branch of county government; and

WHEREAS, because of the structure of the Executive's proposed budget, the budget detail attached to this ordinance includes surplusage purporting to represent 2010 allotments or the 2009-2010 biennium, but only the figures for 2009 allotments represent the 2009 annual budget adopted by this ordinance;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:

Section 1. Having considered the County Executive's proposed budget for the 2009-2010 fiscal biennium, including the Executive's proposals regarding 2009 property tax revenues, and in accordance with Amended Emergency Ordinance No. 08-138, the County Council hereby adopts the budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009, and ending December 31, 2009, at the program levels designated "2009 Allotment" set forth in Exhibit 1, a computerized compilation of budget detail, which is attached hereto and incorporated as if fully stated herein (except as provided in Section 11). In case of conflict, the 2009 allotment figures in Exhibit 1 shall control over the table in Section 4.

Section 2. Pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 49, § 23, Laws of Washington 1982, 1<sup>st</sup> ex. sess., funding for the fire districts within Snohomish County has been fully considered during the budget process. While such districts provide an important service to the residents of Snohomish County, they are independent taxing entities; therefore this budget does not provide funds for their use.

Section 3. (a) The compensation levels (salaries and wages) of non-represented regular employees (except the elected officials identified in SCC 2.105.010, employees covered by the Sheriff's office exempt employees compensation plan established by SCC 3.69.050 and District and Superior Court judges and commissioners) that are set by Section 1 of this ordinance show final year 2008 salaries and wages and shall be considered interim compensation. Total 2009 compensation for such employees shall include such interim

compensation and additional deferred compensation in the form of a cost of living adjustment (COLA), the amount of which will be determined at the time wage negotiations between the county and its AFSCME union employees are concluded. The COLA amounts applicable to non-represented county employees shall be established by the council by written motion. The COLA granted to non-represented county employees shall be the same as that granted to AFSCME union employees in their collective bargaining agreement covering the year 2009. The deferred compensation shall be paid as a lump sum for those months prior to the date of the COLA determination and shall be paid on a monthly basis as an increase in total compensation for those months following the COLA determination. For non-represented regular employees who are hired after January 1, 2009, any lump sum COLA amount they receive shall be prorated based upon their date of employment.

(b) For active non-represented temporary employees who perform duties that are equivalent to those performed by regular employees and who receive a rate of compensation for such work that is equal to the rate paid for that work done by regular employees, the compensation levels set by Section 1 of this ordinance shall be considered interim compensation. Total 2009 compensation for each such active temporary employee shall include such interim compensation and additional deferred compensation in the form of a cost of living adjustment (COLA), determined and paid in a manner equivalent to that set forth in subsection (a) of this section for regular employees. For non-represented temporary employees who are hired after January 1, 2009, any lump sum COLA amount they receive shall be prorated based upon their date of employment.

Section 4. The 2009 budget is organized by funds, departments and divisions, in the following amounts:

Department	Division	2009 Appropriation	2009 Revenues
Executive	Executive	\$ 1,630,008	\$ -
Executive	Economic Development	\$ 710,477	\$ 258,000
<b>Executive</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,340,485</b>	<b>\$ 258,000</b>
Legislative	Council	\$ 3,505,982	\$ 2,188
<b>Legislative</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,505,982</b>	<b>\$ 2,188</b>
BRB BOE	BRB BOE	\$ 310,781	\$ 3,153
<b>BRB BOE</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 310,781</b>	<b>\$ 3,153</b>
Human Services	Human Services Support	\$ 2,777,856	\$ -
<b>Human Services</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,545,727</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
Planning	Planning	\$ 3,920,227	\$ 1,065,548
Planning	Total	\$ 3,920,227	\$ 1,065,548
Hearing Examiner		\$ 528,626	\$ 52,833
<b>Hearing Examiner</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 528,626</b>	<b>\$ 52,833</b>

Department	Division	2009 Appropriation	2009 Revenues
Evergreen Fair		\$ 4,079,990	\$ 4,865,400
Parks And Recreation		\$ 5,379,900	\$ 1,335,719
<b>Parks and Recreation</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 9,459,890</b>	<b>\$ 6,201,119</b>
Assessor	Tax Assements & Evaluat	\$ 7,382,356	\$ 175,774
<b>Assessor</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,382,356</b>	<b>\$ 175,774</b>
Auditor	Auditor	\$ 4,485,198	\$ 6,867,866
Auditor	Election Services	\$ 3,333,079	\$ 2,373,936
<b>Auditor</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,818,277</b>	<b>\$ 9,241,802</b>
	Finance Operations	\$ 2,093,008	\$ 79,754
	Historic Presentation	\$ 256,307	\$ 290,000
	Budget And Systems Srvic	\$ 978,127	\$ 11,197
<b>Finance</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,327,442</b>	<b>\$ 380,951</b>
	Human Resources	\$ 1,452,939	\$ 389,313
<b>Human Resources</b>		<b>\$ 1,452,939</b>	<b>\$ 389,313</b>
	Total	\$ 13,070,024	\$ 134,320,598
<b>Nondepartmental</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 13,070,024</b>	<b>\$ 134,320,598</b>
	Purchasing Services	\$ 460,667	\$ -
<b>Facilities Management</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 460,667</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
	Treasurer	\$ 2,958,425	\$ 11,549,752
<b>Treasurer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,958,425</b>	<b>\$ 11,549,752</b>
	District Court	\$ 8,689,094	\$ 10,170,734
<b>District Court</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 8,689,094</b>	<b>\$ 10,170,734</b>
	Sheriff Administration	\$ 3,777,313	\$ -
	Operations	\$ 34,183,505	\$ 10,332,816
	Staff Services	\$ 4,073,017	\$ 466,000
	Civil	\$ 397,875	\$ -
	Communication	\$ 4,084,427	\$ 364,000
<b>Sheriff</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 46,516,136</b>	<b>\$ 11,162,816</b>
	Prosecuting Attorney	\$ 13,968,219	\$ 1,639,406
	Pre-Prosecution Diversion	\$ 421,151	\$ 115,000
<b>Prosecuting Attorney</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 14,389,370</b>	<b>\$ 1,754,406</b>
Office of Public Defense	Office of Public Defense	\$ 6,945,407	\$ 1,283,762
<b>Office of Public Defense</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,945,407</b>	<b>\$ 1,283,762</b>
Medical Examiner	Medical Examiner	\$ 1,846,144	\$ 113,122
<b>Medical Examiner</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,846,144</b>	<b>\$ 113,122</b>
Superior Court	Juvenile Court Operations	\$ 9,257,947	\$ 1,652,241
Superior Court	Superior Court Operations	\$ 6,584,533	\$ 229,559
Superior Court	Administrative Services	\$ 5,755,848	\$ 114,000
Superior Court	Juvenile Detention Services	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Superior Court</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 21,598,328</b>	<b>\$ 1,995,800</b>
Clerk	County Clerk	\$ 6,676,923	\$ 3,506,634
<b>Clerk</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,676,923</b>	<b>\$ 3,506,634</b>
	Jail	\$ 25,390,510	\$ 11,317,269
	Special Detention	\$ 2,426,008	\$ 577,600

Department	Division	2009 Appropriation	2009 Revenues
	Administration	\$ 4,983,455	\$ 416,000
	Support Services	\$ 5,724,062	\$ 111,743
<b>Corrections</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 38,524,035</b>	<b>\$ 12,422,612</b>
	DEM Operations	\$ 953,030	\$ 169,398
<b>Dept Emergency Management</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 953,030</b>	<b>\$ 169,398</b>
<b>General Fund</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 206,220,315</b>	<b>\$ 206,220,315</b>
<b>Other Funds</b>			
Executive	Economic Development	\$ 2,497,481	\$ 2,463,706
Executive	Emergency Services Communic.	\$ 266,578	\$ 261,830
Executive	Emergency Services Communic.	\$ 266,578	\$ 261,830
Executive	Economic Development	\$ -	\$ -
Executive	Arts Commission	\$ 435,000	\$ 415,000
Executive	Arts Commission	\$ -	\$ 15,000
Executive	Arts Commission	\$ -	\$ 5,000
Executive	Arts Commission	\$ 435,000	\$ 435,000
<b>Executive</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,199,059</b>	<b>\$ 3,160,536</b>
Human Services	Childrens Services	\$ 1,733,349	\$ 1,733,349
Human Services	Human Services / Aging	\$ 6,521,535	\$ 6,521,535
Human Services	Alcohol & Other Drugs & CS	\$ 8,045,600	\$ 8,045,600
Human Services	Mental Health A& Developmental Dis	\$ 4,615,385	\$ 4,615,385
Human Services	Cooperative Extension	\$ 893,252	\$ 893,252
Human Services	Housing and Homeless Services	\$ 5,242,091	\$ 5,242,091
<b>Human Services</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 27,051,212</b>	<b>\$ 27,051,212</b>
Planning	Grant Control and Planning	\$ 106,000	\$ 106,000
Planning	Arson Investigation & Equip	\$ 322	\$ 322
Planning	Sno County Tomorrow	\$ 176,890	\$ 176,890
Planning	Community Development Administration	\$ 1,587,750	\$ 1,587,750
Planning	Business Process & Development	\$ 1,466,735	\$ 1,466,735
Planning	Community Development / Planning	\$ 14,642,715	\$ 14,642,715
<b>Planning</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 17,980,412</b>	<b>\$ 17,980,412</b>
Public Works	County Road / TES	\$ 11,275,710	\$ 160,196,483
Public Works	County Road / Road Maintenance	\$ 35,100,155	\$ -
Public Works	County Road / Engineering Services	\$ 91,537,549	\$ -
Public Works	County Road Administration	\$ 22,283,068	\$ -
Public Works	River Management / Surface Water Mngt	\$ 2,037,938	\$ 2,037,938
Public Works	County Road - TES	\$ 11,000	\$ 11,000
Public Works	River Management . CIP Projects	\$ 1,039,063	\$ 1,039,063
Public Works	Transportation Facility Const / Mitigation	\$ 10,683,395	\$ 10,683,395
Public Works	Solid Waste Mgt / Admin	\$ 10,730,998	\$ 57,941,544

<b>Department</b>	<b>Division</b>	<b>2009 Appropriation</b>	<b>2009 Revenues</b>
Public Works	Solid Waste Planning & Evaluation	\$ 1,489,294	\$ -
Public Works	Solid Waste Moderate Risk Waste	\$ 854,524	\$ -
Public Works	Solid Waster Operations	\$ 16,003,382	\$ 819,950
Public Works	Solid Waste Engineering and Const	\$ 2,550,000	\$ -
Public Works	Solid Waste Export	\$ 25,347,455	\$ -
Public Works	Solid Waste Env Svc	\$ 1,785,840	\$ -
Public Works	Surface Water Management	\$ 31,680,526	\$ 31,680,526
Public Works	Equipment Rental & Revolving	\$ 29,973,709	\$ 29,973,710
Public Works	Pits and Quarries	\$ 519,224	\$ 519,224
<b>Public Works</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 294,902,830</b>	<b>\$ 294,902,833</b>
Parks And Recreation	SpECIAL Rev Parks and Rec Admin	\$ 147,000	\$ 147,000
Parks And Recreation	Fairgrounds Maintenance	\$ 866,086	\$ 1,354,358
Parks And Recreation	Fairgrounds Maintenance Cum Res Contingency	\$ 488,272	\$ -
Parks And Recreation	Conservation Futures/ Parks Mitigation	\$ 13,628,882	\$ 13,628,882
Parks And Recreation	Fair Sponserhips	\$ 357,662	\$ 357,662
Parks And Recreation	Parks Construction Fund	\$ 5,455,021	\$ 5,455,021
<b>Parks And Recreation</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 20,942,923</b>	<b>\$ 20,942,923</b>
Auditor	Special Revenue Auditor	\$ 6,150	\$ 6,150
Auditor	Grant Control Elections Services	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Auditor	Auditor's O&M	\$ 1,192,447	\$ 1,192,447
Auditor	Election Equipment Cum Reserve	\$ 751,793	\$ 751,793
<b>Auditor</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,975,390</b>	<b>\$ 1,975,390</b>
Finance	Special Rev Financial Planning	\$ 45,000	\$ 78,775
Finance	Sno County Insurance / Ins Claims	\$ 8,665,892	\$ 10,650,862
Finance	Insurance Claims	\$ 1,029,737	\$ 1,045,681
Finance	Employee Benefits Adminstration	\$ 367,913	\$ -
Finance	Employee Benefits Health Ins Services	\$ 39,234,846	\$ 39,805,956
<b>Finance</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 49,343,388</b>	<b>\$ 51,581,274</b>
Human Resources	Sno County Insurance / Safety	\$ 50,773	\$ -
Human Resources	Health Insurance Services	\$ 211,757	\$ (7,384)
Human Resources	Countywide Training and Dev - Human Resouces	\$ 331,720	\$ 331,720
<b>Human Resources</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 594,251</b>	<b>\$ 324,336</b>
Information Services	Data Proceing Infrastructure Replacement	\$ 1,366,101	\$ 2,102,359
Information Services	DIS / Central Service	\$ 70,000	\$ -
Information Services	Data Center/Facility Replacemt	\$ 68,895	\$ -
Information Services	Data Processing Research Dev Reserve	\$ 301,726	\$ (8,671)
Information Services	PDS Technology Enhancement Prg	\$ 666,548	\$ 379,582
Information Services	Information Services / Data Processing	\$ 5,627,896	\$ 5,527,895
Information Services	Information Services / Admin	\$ 2,607,298	\$ 2,607,298
Information Services	Information Services / Records Mngmnt	\$ 1,697,600	\$ 1,697,600
Information Services	Imformation Services / Contract Svc	\$ 833,081	\$ 833,081

SUBSTITUTE ORDINANCE NO. 08-119  
ADOPTING THE 2009 ANNUAL BUDGET  
AND MAKING APPROPRIATIONS, ETC. - Page 6 of 13

Department	Division	2009 Appropriation	2009 Revenues
Information Services	Information Services / GIS	\$ 960,183	\$ 960,183
Information Services	Information Services / Printing & Dup	\$ 550,327	\$ 550,327
Information Services	Information Services / Office Automation	\$ 4,809,773	\$ 4,909,773
Information Services	Information Services Telephone	\$ 3,210,329	\$ 3,210,329
<b>Information Services</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 22,769,758</b>	<b>\$ 22,769,756</b>
Nondepartmental	Nondepartmental - Special Revenue	\$ 15,770,000	\$ 15,770,000
Nondepartmental	Nondepartmental Grant Admin	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
Nondepartmental	Nondepartmental / Miscellaneous	\$ 342,000	\$ 342,000
Nondepartmental	Nondepartmental / Emerc Svc Comm	\$ 8,053,553	\$ 8,058,301
Nondepartmental	Real Estate Excise Tax Fund / SB 4972 Cap Imp	\$ 7,696,086	\$ 7,696,086
Nondepartmental	Real Estate Excise Tax Fund / SB 2929 Capital Imp	\$ 7,374,068	\$ 7,374,068
<b>Nondepartmental</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 40,235,707</b>	<b>\$ 40,240,455</b>
Debt Service	Limited Tax Debt Service	\$ 24,848,984	\$ 24,848,984
Debt Service	Rid 24 Long Term Debt Svc	\$ 351,000	\$ 351,000
<b>Debt Service</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 25,199,984</b>	<b>\$ 25,199,984</b>
Facilities Management	Facilities Management Planning and Construction	\$ 5,766	\$ 5,766
Facilities Management	Facilities Management Services	\$ 11,670,510	\$ 11,670,509
<b>Facilities Management</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 11,676,276</b>	<b>\$ 11,676,275</b>
Pass-Through Grants	Pass-Through Grants	\$ 13,347,246	\$ 13,347,246
Pass-Through Grants	Pass-Through Grants	\$ 6,581,322	\$ 6,581,322
Pass-Through Grants	Pass-Through Grants	\$ 5,755,909	\$ 5,755,909
Pass-Through Grants	Pass-Through Grants	\$ 6,379,206	\$ 6,379,206
Pass-Through Grants	Pass-Through Grants	\$ 8,503,316	\$ 8,503,316
<b>Pass-Through Grants</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 40,566,999</b>	<b>\$ 40,566,999</b>
Airport	Airport Operations & Maint	\$ 27,482,223	\$ 16,744,598
Airport	Airport Operations & Maint	\$ -	\$ 2,935,000
Airport	Airport Operations & Maint	\$ -	\$ 4,467,500
Airport	Airport Operations & Maint	\$ 3,871,425	\$ 7,206,550
<b>Airport</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 31,353,648</b>	<b>\$ 31,353,648</b>
Sheriff	Special Revenues Operations	\$ 105,797	\$ 105,797
Sheriff	Narcotics Control	\$ 2,585,693	\$ 2,585,693
Sheriff	Grants Control / Sheriff	\$ 575,000	\$ 575,000
Sheriff	Sheriff Search and Rescue	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
Sheriff	Sheriff's Narcotics Enforcement	\$ 1,335,000	\$ 1,335,000
Sheriff	Sheriff Boating Safety	\$ 139,000	\$ 139,000
Sheriff	Sheriff's Campus Security	\$ 1,381,547	\$ 1,381,547
<b>Sheriff</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,272,037</b>	<b>\$ 6,272,037</b>
Prosecuting Attorney	PAO Special Revenue Community Impact	\$ 118,863	\$ 118,863
Prosecuting Attorney	PAO / Crime Victim Services	\$ 609,496	\$ 609,496
Prosecuting Attorney	PAO Grant Control STOP Grant	\$ 38,318	\$ 38,318

SUBSTITUTE ORDINANCE NO. 08-119  
ADOPTING THE 2009 ANNUAL BUDGET  
AND MAKING APPROPRIATIONS, ETC. - Page 7 of 13

Department	Division	2009 Appropriation	2009 Revenues
Prosecuting Attorney	PAO Family Support	\$ 4,115,348	\$ 4,115,348
Prosecuting Attorney	PAO Drug Prosecution I & II	\$ 55,046	\$ 55,046
Prosecuting Attorney	PAO JABG	\$ 195,496	\$ 195,496
Prosecuting Attorney	PAO RSO	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000
Prosecuting Attorney	PAO Antiprofitteering	\$ 78,723	\$ 78,723
Prosecuting Attorney	PAO Tort	\$ 1,934,198	\$ -
<b>Prosecuting Attorney</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,235,488</b>	<b>\$ 5,301,290</b>
Superior Court	Special Revenues Juvenile Prob Svcs	\$ 28,000	\$ 28,000
Superior Court	Superior Court Juvenile Court Operations	\$ 2,765,855	\$ 2,765,855
Superior Court	Grant Contril Juvenile Court	\$ 45,421	\$ 45,421
Superior Court	Juvenile Detention Services	\$ 671,237	\$ 671,237
Superior Court	Superior Court FRS - Bridgers	\$ 86,105	\$ 86,105
Superior Court	Superior Court Family Drug Court	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,000
<b>Superior Court</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,678,618</b>	<b>\$ 3,678,618</b>
Corrections	Jail Commisary	\$ 707,312	\$ 707,312
<b>Corrections</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 707,312</b>	<b>\$ 707,312</b>
Dept Emergency Management	DEM Operations	\$ 2,561,828	\$ 2,561,828
<b>Dept Emergency Management</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,561,828</b>	<b>\$ 2,561,828</b>
<b>Other Funds</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 608,247,121</b>	<b>\$ 608,247,118</b>
<b>All Funds</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 814,467,436</b>	<b>\$ 814,467,433</b>

Section 5. Pursuant to the Personnel Cost Policy established by Motion No. 07-691, the number of FTEs is limited by division, department, and fund for fiscal year 2009 as follows:

General Fund Department		FTE
01	Executive	13.800
02	Legislative	27.000
03	BRB BOE	2.938
04	Human Services	17.500
05	Planning	26.750
07	Hearing Examiner	3.750
09	Parks And Recreation	55.550

	<b>General Fund Department</b>	<b>FTE</b>
	Assessor	67.850
	Auditor	49.000
	Finance	28.200
	Human Resources	14.100
	Facilities Management	5.000
	Treasurer	27.500
	District Court	91.500
	Sheriff	344.000
	Prosecuting Attorney	129.500
	Office of Public Defense	8.000
	Medical Examiner	14.000
	Superior Court	185.340
	Clerk	79.600
	Corrections	337.400
	Dept Emergency Management	5.000
	<b>GENERAL FUND TOTAL</b>	<b><u>1533.278</u></b>

**Other Funds**

01	Executive	4.200
04	Human Services	157.950
05	Planning	134.250
06	Public Works	703.751
09	Parks And Recreation	14.450
11	Auditor	2.000
12	Finance	9.300
13	Human Resources	5.000
14	Information Services	107.000
18	Facilities Management	41.000
21	Airport	49.650
30	Sheriff	16.000
31	Prosecuting Attorney	60.000
36	Superior Court	38.160
38	Corrections	2.200
39	Dept Emergency Management	6.600
	<b>Other Funds Total</b>	<b><u>1351.511</u></b>
	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b><u>2884.789</u></b>

**Section 6.** Notwithstanding the limitations contained in Section 5, the Council may authorize one or more increases in the maximum number of FTEs for a specific division, department, or fund up to an aggregate of 35 additional FTEs for the County, upon finding that (a) the increase is in the best interests of the County and (b) necessary funds have been appropriated. Council action to increase the maximum number of FTEs is an administrative act and may be taken by motion.

**Section 7.** The number of FTEs for which appropriations are made by this ordinance as set out in Section 4 includes the 16.25 "project" positions listed below. Expenditures of appropriations for these positions may only be made through the indicated end dates. These positions shall not be considered permanent positions notwithstanding their inclusion in this ordinance.

Department	Position Title	Wage Scale	FTE	End Date
Human Services	4-H Program Assistant	234	0.75	12/31/2010
Human Services	Human Services Planner	239	1.00	12/31/2010
Public Works	Biologist	240	1.00	12/31/2010
Public Works	Contract Compliance Specialist	236	1.00	12/31/2010
Public Works	Engineer IV	245	1.00	1/1/2013
Public Works	Engineering Tech III -- Project Mgmt Coord Asst	237	1.00	12/31/2012
Public Works	Engineering Tech IV -- Survey	239	1.00	12/31/2010
Public Works	Habitat Specialist Senior	242	1.00	12/31/2010
Public Works	Litter Control Crew Leader	904	1.00	12/31/2010
Public Works	Office Assistant II	305	1.00	12/31/2012
Public Works	Permit Coordinator I - PWK	235	1.00	1/1/2013
Public Works	Project Specialist II	239	1.00	12/31/2010
Public Works	Records Technician	308	1.00	12/31/2010
Public Works	Traffic Investigator	239	1.00	12/31/2010
Public Works	Transportation Specialist Associate	244	1.00	12/31/2014
Public Works	Watershed Steward	241	0.50	12/31/2011
Public Works	Residential Appraiser Analyst	239	1.00	1/1/2010
Total			16.25	

**Section 8. Budget Conditions.** Pursuant to Section 6.50 of the Snohomish County Charter, the 2009 budget is subject to the following conditions, restrictions, and limitations:

- (a) Finance and Economic Development Committee
  - (1)
- (b) Law and Justice/Human Services Committee

(1)

(c) Operations Committee

(1)

(d) Planning and Community Development Committee

(1)

(e) Public Works Committee

(1)

Section 9. Budget Notes. The 2009 budget is adopted with the following statements of County Council intent and requests for information or agency action:

(a) Finance and Economic Development Committee

(1)

(b) Law and Justice/Human Services Committee

(1)

(c) Operations Committee

(1)

(d) Planning and Community Development Committee

(1)

(e) Public Works Committee

(1)

Section 10. The FTE positions authorized by this ordinance shall not be subject to the temporary hiring freeze imposed by SCC 3.90.030.

Section 11. The figures and other material in Exhibit 1 designated or purporting to represent 2010 allotments or the 2009-2010 biennium is not adopted by this ordinance and shall have no force or effect.

PASSED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2008.

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL  
Snohomish County, Washington

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairperson

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Council

- ( ) APPROVED
- ( ) EMERGENCY
- ( ) VETOED

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
County Executive

# PANEL 1

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	<b>Brian Goodnight</b>	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Public Works</b>
<b>Fund(s):</b>	<b>102, 130, 188, 192, 507</b>	<b>Division:</b>	<b>Roads</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
<b>Revenues</b>	\$157,936,837	\$157,936,837	\$171,410,102	\$13,473,265	8.53%
<b>Expenditures</b>	\$157,936,837	\$157,936,837	\$171,410,102	\$13,473,265	8.53%
<b>FTEs</b>	383.75	383.75	397.75	14.00	3.65%

### Explanation/Comments

- PW is requesting an increase of 14 FTE in the Roads divisions for 2009.
  - 7 of the positions are within the Road Maintenance division and are in support of the new NPDES requirements. These positions are all Road Maintenance Workers at various levels.
  - 5 of the proposed positions are 2-year project positions to continue work being accomplished through the 2008 DPW-PDS employee loan program. The positions include a Biologist, a Contract Compliance Specialist, an Engineering Technician V-Survey, a Records Technician, and a Traffic Investigator.
  - 1 FTE is a Public Works Supervisor 1, which is a return of an FTE that was transferred to PDS approximately two years ago when permit activity was at an all-time high.
  - 1 FTE is a transfer from the Solid Waste division. The new position, Road Maintenance Administrative and Planning Manager, will be responsible for finalizing the Continuity of Operations Plans and coordinating with the Department of Emergency Management.
- Much of the increase in the 2009 budget can be attributed to the increased staffing for NPDES compliance and an increase in reimbursable services to County departments and outside agencies, especially for large projects such as the 20th Street SW project for WSDOT.
- The capital construction program for 2009 has also been increased in order to speed up the work on certain projects by spending more transportation mitigation funding. In addition, approximately \$500,000 in new spending has been programmed in 2009 due to the one percent increase in the road tax levy being approved.
- The contribution to the Sheriff's Office for Traffic Enforcement has been increased by approximately \$425,000, bringing the total contribution to \$2.9 million.
- The Road divisions are also covering a significant portion of the new Public Works / Planning and Development Services Employee Loaner Program. The total cost to Roads will be approximately \$3.1 million, which pays for many of the 39.5 FTE that are covered in the program, as well as some interfund space rental charges.

2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Will Hall	<b>Department:</b>	PDS
		<b>Fund:</b>	002 General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$634,236	\$984,238	\$1,065,548	\$81,310	8.26%
Expenditures	\$3,854,146	\$4,204,148	\$3,920,227	(\$283,921)	-6.75%
FTEs	33.00	34.00	26.75	(7.25)	-21.32%

**Explanation/Comments**

1. The PDS fire investigations program was funded by a transfer of \$531,566 of general funds out of a non-departmental budget in 2008. In 2009, that same amount is appropriated directly into a new PDS general fund program, less \$14,418 in Department Directed Savings and \$3,225 in anticipated underexpenditures. The FTE count of 3 is the same as in 2008.
2. The PDS non-development code enforcement program was not shown in the PDS general fund budget in 2008. In 2009, that program is included in a new PDS general fund program. Staffing is reduced to 1.75 FTE consistent with the PDS recommendation. The budget includes \$4,995 in Department Directed Savings and \$1,796 in anticipated underexpenditures.
3. Long Range Planning general fund staffing is reduced from 27 to 22 FTE consistent with the PDS recommendation. Two staff positions in Long Range Planning are funded by Public Works in 2009 as part of the employee labor sharing program. The budget includes \$64,630 in Department Directed Savings and \$15,249 in anticipated underexpenditures.
4. Transfers to SWM and the conservation district are not included in the PDS general fund budget.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Will Hall	<b>Department:</b>	PDS
		<b>Fund:</b>	130, 143, 190, 193

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$25,651,069	\$25,651,069	\$17,980,413	(\$7,670,656)	-29.90%
Expenditures	\$25,651,069	\$25,651,069	\$17,980,413	(\$7,670,656)	-29.90%
FTEs	192.00	200.00	134.25	(65.75)	-32.88%

### Explanation/Comments

1. The largest change in the PDS budget is the reduction in permit revenue associated with the economic downturn. 2008 permit revenues were estimated at \$21.3 million when the 2008 budget was adopted. The revised estimate for 2008 is only \$13 million.
2. For 2009, the permit revenue forecast was revised downward by \$4.3 million after the submittal of the executive recommended budget, to \$13 million. This led the department to recommended substantial additional cuts and to expand the employee sharing program.
3. The 2009 budget includes staff reductions in non-general fund PDS positions from 200 in 2008 to 135.25 in 2009. Included in the 2009 FTE count are 37.5 FTE funded by Public Works and 1 FTE funded by the Airport through the employee sharing program.
4. Snohomish County Tomorrow revenues from cities are reduced and costs to the county are increased due to a change in the allocation of dues that arose from a controversy about weighted voting. Staffing for Snohomish County Tomorrow is reduced from 2 to 1 FTE, and extra help is added to partially offset the reduction. The total program budget is reduced slightly from \$188,181 to \$176,890.
5. The budget includes a transfer of \$379,582 from the new PDS technology fee to fund 315 in DIS for strategic technology projects. It also transfers \$286,966 the other direction from fund 315 to PDS to pay for PDS employees working on strategic technology projects, including a major overhaul of the AMANDA permit tracking system.
6. General fund programs such as fire investigations are not included in these totals. They are included on the PDS general fund summary sheet.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Will Hall	<b>Department:</b>	Facilities – Purchasing
		<b>Fund:</b>	002 General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Expenditures	\$524,714	\$524,714	\$460,667	(\$64,047)	-12.21%
FTEs	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00%

**Explanation/Comments**

1. Purchasing Services is the only part of Facilities Management in the general fund.
2. Purchasing Services staff was reduced by 1 FTE in the 2005 budget and has remained the same ever since.
3. Purchasing has absorbed additional workload through efficiencies.
4. The department proposed budget cuts that do not affect the number of FTEs.
5. The budget includes \$12,620 in Department Directed Savings and \$2,315 in anticipated underexpenditures.

2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Will Hall	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Facilities</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>511 – Facilities Services</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$11,628,443	\$11,628,443	\$11,670,509	\$42,066	0.36%
Expenditures	\$11,628,443	\$11,628,443	\$11,670,509	\$42,066	0.36%
FTEs	40.00	43.00	41.00	(2.00)	-4.65%

**Explanation/Comments**

1. Facilities Maintenance, Property Management, Parking Operations, Commute Trip Reduction, and Administration are included in this summary. They were all put into Facilities Services Fund 511 starting in 2008.
2. One Facilities Maintenance Technician position is cut and the CRI project manager project position is not continued in 2009, consistent with department recommendations.
3. The budget does not transfer two gardeners from Facilities to Parks and it does not transfer three maintenance workers from Parks to Facilities.
4. This budget affects all other departments by setting interfund space rent rates.
5. Leases at the Cogswell and Medical-Dental buildings are due to expire in 2009. Efforts are underway to plan for future space for the county employees that are there and for Dawson Place.
6. Department Directed Savings of \$96,634 are included in the budget.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Will Hall	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Facilities - Construction</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>311 – Facility Construction</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$1,832,804	\$1,832,804	\$5,766	(\$1,827,038)	-99.69%
Expenditures	\$1,832,804	\$1,832,804	\$5,766	(\$1,827,038)	-99.69%
FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

### **Explanation/Comments**

1. Facilities Management is working on its six-year capital plan for county facilities.
2. No new capital construction projects are included in the 2009 budget, as recommended by the department.
3. The Carnegie Roof Repair is still in the program with funds that are already appropriated. The work will be rebid in early 2009.
4. Facilities will work with Parks on a long-term capital investment plan for the fairgrounds.
5. The only new project that was included in the 2009 – 2010 biennial proposal was the South District Court roof replacement, and that was proposed for 2010.
6. A variety of other capital projects are planned beyond 2010 and are included in the 6-year Capital Improvement Program.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Geoffrey Thomas	<b>Department:</b>	Parks and Recreation
		<b>Fund:</b>	General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$6,355,303	\$6,355,303	\$6,201,119	(\$154,184)	-2.43%
Expenditures	\$9,971,742	\$9,971,742	\$9,459,670	(\$512,072)	-5.14%
FTEs	63.95	63.95	58.85	(5.10)	-7.97%

### Explanation/Comments

1. The Department Directed Savings is \$131,256, which is included in expenditures in the table above. The Department will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per a Directed Savings budget note.
2. General trends in Parks & Recreation show increases in the following areas: utilities (water, sewer, power, etc.), extra help, interfund county premiums (insurance), wood shavings for animals at the Fair, and property tax payments (SWM fee increases).
3. General trends in Parks & Recreation show decreases in the following areas: salaries & benefits from changes in FTEs; supplies, equipment, and repair/maintenance associated with maintenance staff; rental equipment; DIS overhead; and professional services.
4. FTE reductions:
  - a. 1.0 FTE for a Fair Marketing Specialist,
  - b. 0.6 FTEs from Fair Operations (facility reservations),
  - c. 1.5 FTEs from Parks Maintenance, and
  - d. 2.0 FTEs from Parks Maintenance, Routine Maintenance and Operations.
5. Extra Help Increases:
  - a. The Parks & Recreation Department has seen significant increases in funds for extra help. These increases occur because the Corrections Department no longer offers labor to county departments.
  - b. Extra help, whether related to maintenance or to other services, is mostly seasonal. Therefore, Parks & Recreation could not transfer most of these duties to FTEs that are proposed for reduction.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	<b>Brian Goodnight</b>	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Public Works</b>
<b>Fund(s):</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>Division:</b>	<b>Solid Waste</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
<b>Revenues</b>	\$63,554,438	\$66,076,838	\$58,761,494	(\$7,315,344)	-11.07%
<b>Expenditures</b>	\$63,554,438	\$66,076,838	\$58,761,494	(\$7,315,344)	-11.07%
<b>FTEs</b>	161.50	161.50	161.50	0.00	0.00%

### Explanation/Comments

- The total staffing level for 2009 is unchanged from 2008, but two changes have been made.
  - 1 FTE has been transferred to the Road Maintenance division for work on the Continuity of Operations Plans and to provide coordination with the Department of Emergency Management.
  - 1 new FTE, a Solid Waste Operations Manager, has been added. The new position will replace the functions that are being lost due to the position transfer detailed above.
- The decreased expenditures in 2009 are primarily the result of reduced construction costs, waste export savings derived from reduced tonnage, and e-waste savings from the producer responsibility program.
- This budget also assumes a new rate schedule for the division beginning in 2009.
  - For the first two months of the year, the rates will remain consistent with the 2008 rates, with the exception of certain electronic waste, for which the county is no longer allowed to charge.
  - Beginning on March 1, the standard tipping fee rate increases from \$89/ton to \$105/ton.
  - Other minor revisions to the rate schedule will also take effect, such as reduced charges for separated green waste and clean wood waste.
- There are two additional expenditure increases that are new in 2009.
  - The contribution that Solid Waste makes to the Health District is being increased by \$234,000. This additional amount will be used by the Health District for activities related to complaint investigations and disaster preparedness. The total contribution in 2009 will be \$754,000.
  - Solid Waste is also transferring approximately \$230,000 to the Sheriff's Office for the creation of a Flow Control Task Force. The task force will enforce compliance with state laws that control the handling of waste within the county.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	<b>Brian Goodnight</b>	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Public Works</b>
<b>Fund(s):</b>	<b>103, 130, 415</b>	<b>Division:</b>	<b>Surface Water Management</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
<b>Revenues</b>	\$30,051,068	\$30,051,068	\$34,757,527	\$4,706,459	15.66%
<b>Expenditures</b>	\$30,051,068	\$30,051,068	\$34,757,527	\$4,706,459	15.66%
<b>FTEs</b>	78.50	78.50	95.50	17.00	21.66%

### Explanation/Comments

- In 2009, SWM is requesting an additional 17 FTE as a result of the new work required by the NPDES Municipal Stormwater Permit. The positions are: 5 Planners, 3 Water Quality Analysts, 2 PW Supervisors, and 7 Engineering Techs.
- Additionally, as part of the Public Works / Planning and Development Services Employee Loaner Program, SWM is paying for the use of 5.5 FTE at a cost of approximately \$363,000.
- Much of the increased expenditures in 2009 are the result of work required by the NPDES permit. The total amount of NPDES expenditures for SWM in 2009 is approximately \$6.9 million.
- This budget also assumes increased SWM fee rates beginning in 2009, as well as a couple of other changes with respect to the fees.
  - The new fee for a single family residence is \$90/year, or \$122/year for those residences within an Urban Growth Area. The rate in 2008 was \$33/year, or \$55/year for those residences within a UGA.
  - The sunset provision for the standard fees is removed, allowing for the charges to be designated for general obligation bond repayments.
  - The sunset provision for the UGA surcharge is extended by six years, making the new expiration date the end of 2015.
- In 2009, SWM fees are being designated to pay for programs that were partially or fully funded by other funds in previous years. The programs and the additional amounts being covered by SWM are:
  - Groundwater – \$150,000
  - Marine Resources Committee – \$80,000
  - CAR Monitoring Program – \$180,000
  - Conservation District – \$184,000.
- SWM is also transferring \$94,000 to the Prosecuting Attorney's Office in 2009 to pay for work related to the NPDES permit.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Geoffrey Thomas	<b>Department:</b>	Airport
		<b>Fund:</b>	Airport Enterprise Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$24,935,980	\$24,935,980	\$31,535,648	\$6,599,668	26.47%
Expenditures	\$24,935,980	\$24,935,980	\$31,535,648	\$6,599,668	26.47%
FTEs	49.75	49.75	49.65	(0.10)	-0.20%

**Explanation/Comments**

1. The Airport Fund is excluded from the Department Directed Savings.
2. \$10 million toward taxiway construction/rehabilitation, \$1 million in runway improvements, \$1.8 million to complete the fire station, and \$2 million for central ramp hangar repairs.
3. \$15,000 toward professional services for a state lobbyist contract.
4. \$105,000 toward professional services for an FTE from the Planning and Development Services Department.
5. The reduction in FTE by 0.1 was requested by the employee in that position. The airport anticipates increasing this back from a 0.9 FTE to a 1.0 FTE in the next few years.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	<b>Brian Goodnight</b>	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Information Services</b>	
<b>Fund(s):</b>	<b>315, 505</b>	<b>Division:</b>	<b>n/a</b>	

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
<b>Revenues</b>	\$23,598,219	\$23,598,219	\$22,769,756	(\$828,463)	-3.51%
<b>Expenditures</b>	\$23,598,219	\$23,598,219	\$22,769,756	(\$828,463)	-3.51%
<b>FTEs</b>	111.00	113.00	107.00	(6.00)	-5.31%

### Explanation/Comments

- Six positions have been eliminated in the 2009 budget. The positions are: 2 Business Application Developers, 2 Records Support / Imaging Techs, 1 Systems Administrator Support, and 1 GIS Support. All of the positions designated for elimination are currently vacant.
- By reducing the number of FTE in the department, savings are achieved and are being passed along to other county departments in the form of reduced DIS rates. In 2009, the rate reduction savings total approximately \$1.1 million, with about \$700,000 of the savings occurring in the General Fund. No service areas are being eliminated by these reductions.
- The DIS budget for 2009 also proposed to informally move three NAG positions out of other departments and bring them into the DIS reporting structure. The three affected departments were Public Works, the Sheriff's Office, and the Superior Court.
  - As part of this shift in 2009, DIS proposed to pickup the funding for the positions in the Sheriff's Office and the Superior Court, while the Public Works position would continue to be funded by Public Works through a transfer.
  - This budget returns the Sheriff's Office and the Superior Court positions to their respective department reporting structures, but retains the funding strategy of the DIS proposal.
- There are two changes that relate to PDS's strategic technology reserve in the DIS capital projects fund.
  - The expected transfer of revenue from PDS's technology fee has been reduced by \$120,000 to more accurately reflect the current revenue expectations.
  - PDS is also transferring \$287,000 back into Fund 193 from the technology reserve in order to pay for three employees that will be working on technology projects for the department.
- Similar to other departments, the DIS budget contains department directed savings for 2009. The amount of expected DIS savings is approximately \$309,000.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Will Hall	<b>Department:</b>	<b>BRB - BOE</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>002 General Fund</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$3,153	\$1,653	110.20%
Expenditures	\$333,443	\$333,443	\$310,781	(\$22,662)	-6.80%
FTEs	3.00	3.00	2.9375	(0.0625)	-2.08%

### Explanation/Comments

1. Proposed staffing for the BRB is reduced by a fraction to meet the budget reduction target. One position will work reduced hours.
2. Workload for the BOE has generally increased over time and can be expected to continue to increase over time.
3. Workload for the BRB varies based on level of annexation activity. Annexation activity was very high from 2005 – 2007. It dropped off in 2008. It may go up in the future if more large annexations are proposed.
4. The budget does not include increases in BRB expenditures that may be needed if several large and controversial annexations are proposed.
5. Department Directed Savings of \$8,238 and anticipated underexpenditures of \$1,562 are included in the budget.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	<b>Brian Goodnight</b>	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Public Works</b>
<b>Fund(s):</b>	<b>502</b>	<b>Division:</b>	<b>Equipment Rental &amp; Revolving</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
<b>Revenues</b>	\$25,292,609	\$25,292,609	\$29,973,710	\$4,681,101	18.51%
<b>Expenditures</b>	\$25,292,609	\$25,292,609	\$29,973,710	\$4,681,101	18.51%
<b>FTEs</b>	49.00	49.00	49.00	0.00	0.00%

### Explanation/Comments

- The proposed staffing level for 2009 is unchanged from 2008.
- No new programs have been requested for 2009, and the division will continue the swing shift maintenance and on-road service programs that were started in 2008.
- Labor costs have been reduced to \$75.96/hour in the 2009 budget, down from the 2008 labor cost of \$84.70/hour.
- Increased fuel costs are the primary driver for the budget increase in 2009 over 2008.
  - At the time the original projections were made by Fleet Management, the blended fuel rate was estimated to be \$4.40/gallon for 2009. Since the budget was submitted, however, the price of fuel has declined dramatically, and a quick recovery does not seem likely.
  - For this budget, the price of fuel that Fleet Management will charge to departments in 2009 will be \$3.50/gallon. This amount more closely aligns with the historical trends for the price of fuel and incorporates updated information about the current economic situation.
  - The savings that this reduced rate will generate for the General Fund has been calculated and included in the budgets for General Fund departments. The total amount of savings to the General Fund is estimated to be approximately \$294,000.
  - This reduction in fuel price has not been included in the budget figures that appear above because the new fuel price will need to be run through the Fleet Management cost model to accurately calculate the total impact.
  - Essentially, this means that the appropriation authority for Fleet Management will be marginally higher than the appropriation they will require for fuel purchases. This difference is insignificant since fuel costs are paid and revenue received only for the amount of fuel that is actually used.
- One additional change has been made to the 2009 budget, and that is an additional transfer of approximately \$110,000 for costs associated with the Public Works / Planning and Development Services Employee Loaner Program.

# PANEL 2

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b>	Sheriff's Office
		<b>Fund:</b>	General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$8,697,704	\$8,836,497	\$11,162,816	\$2,326,319	26.33%
Expenditures	\$46,070,156	\$46,703,118	\$46,516,136	(\$186,982)	-0.40%
FTEs	350.500	352.500	345.000	(7.500)	-2.13%

### Explanation/Comments

- The Chair's budget includes significant revenue increases:
  - ✓ \$852,829 in new law enforcement contract revenue
  - ✓ An increased operating transfer in the amount of \$425,686 from the Roads Fund in recognition of 4.00 Deputies added to the Traffic Enforcement Unit
  - ✓ An operating transfer in the amount of \$240,000 from the Drug Buy Fund for the Narcotics Enforcement Unit
  - ✓ An operating transfer in the amount of \$230,596 from the Solid Waste Fund to support 1.00 Sergeant and 1.00 Deputy to work on flow control/waste migration issues
- The Executive's proposed budget eliminated 5.00 Deputies, 1.00 Law Enforcement Secretary, 2.00 Law Enforcement Technicians and 1.00 Fingerprint Technician, offset by new Contract Law Enforcement positions (1.00 Deputy and 1.00 Sergeant) – for a net reduction of 7.00 FTEs in the General Fund portion. It also moved the funding for the Technology Services position to DIS, while the position remained housed in the Sheriff's Office.
- To meet the target reduction for the Sheriff's Office, the Chair's budget (in consultation with the Sheriff) eliminated 1.00 additional Deputy, 2.00 additional Sergeant, 1.00 Cadet (actually two ½ time positions) and 1.00 additional Fingerprint Technician positions – offset by the restoration of 2.00 Law Enforcement Technicians. The net reduction is 10.00 FTEs (which is inconsistent with the table above – it's unclear if the Executive's proposed started with the correct FTE number to begin with). The Technology Services positions remains funded by DIS; however, a proposed budget note makes it clear that this position is under the Sheriff's management and is to be restored to the Sheriff's Office in 2010.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the General Fund portion of the Sheriff's Office is \$12,821. These savings are included in the expenditures in the table above. The Sheriff will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$233,515.

# RECENT ROAD FUND TRANSFERS TO THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009 Chair Proposal
Adopted Transfer Amount	\$1,727,233	\$1,744,506	\$1,761,951	\$1,779,570	\$1,797,366	\$2,215,340	\$2,941,026
annual % increase	5.16% <sup>1</sup>	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	23.25%	32.76%
<b>Adopted Budget Amounts in Affected GF Areas:</b>							
Patrol	\$15,615,856	\$15,907,222	\$16,634,005	\$17,866,112	\$19,402,666	\$17,632,098	\$16,763,376
Traffic Policing	\$356,769	\$522,906	\$601,954	\$728,779	\$1,047,686	\$1,355,440	\$2,933,456
Collision Investigation	\$1,120,078	\$1,084,680	\$1,054,665	\$1,109,812	\$1,563,982	\$1,220,463	\$0
<b>total</b>	<b>\$17,092,703</b>	<b>\$17,514,808</b>	<b>\$18,290,624</b>	<b>\$1,165,767</b>	<b>\$22,014,334</b>	<b>\$20,208,001</b>	<b>\$19,696,832</b>
<b>GF Portion as determined by 2003 study:</b>							
Patrol @ 8%	\$1,249,268	\$1,272,578	\$1,330,720	\$1,429,289	\$1,552,213	\$1,410,568	\$1,341,070
Traffic Policing @ 100%	\$356,769	\$522,906	\$601,954	\$728,779	\$1,047,686	\$1,355,440	\$2,933,456
Collision Investigation @ 100% <sup>2</sup>	\$1,120,078	\$1,084,680	\$1,054,665	\$1,109,812	\$1,563,982	\$1,220,463	\$0
<b>total</b>	<b>\$2,726,115</b>	<b>\$2,880,164</b>	<b>\$2,987,339</b>	<b>\$3,267,880</b>	<b>\$4,163,881</b>	<b>\$3,986,471</b>	<b>\$4,274,526</b>
<b>GF Subsidy of Road Fund:</b>	<b>\$998,882</b>	<b>\$1,135,658</b>	<b>\$1,225,388</b>	<b>\$1,488,310</b>	<b>\$2,366,515</b>	<b>\$1,771,131</b>	<b>\$1,333,500</b>

<sup>1</sup> The 2002 transfer amount was \$1,642,536

<sup>2</sup> in 2009, the proposal is to collapse Collision Investigation into Traffic Policing.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b> Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b> Sheriff's Office
	<b>Fund:</b> Non-General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$5,132,649	\$5,570,981	\$6,272,037	\$701,056	12.58%
Expenditures	\$5,132,649	\$5,570,981	\$6,272,037	\$701,056	12.58%
FTEs	11.000	13.000	15.000	2.000	15.38%

### Explanation/Comments

- The Chair's budget reflects the two positions added in the Executive's proposed but substitutes the recently received funding for a Registered Sex Offender Program deputy for an assumed grant position that didn't materialize.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the non-General Fund portion of the Sheriff's Office is \$6,411. These savings are included in the expenditures in the table above. The Sheriff will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b>	Superior Court
		<b>Fund:</b>	General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$1,937,773	\$1,969,773	\$1,995,800	\$26,027	1.32%
Expenditures	\$28,080,453	\$23,112,453	\$21,598,329	(\$1,514,124)	-6.55%
FTEs	202.404	203.904	186.340	(17.564)	-8.61%

### Explanation/Comments

- To meet the 9% target reduction, the Court proposed a reduction of a total of 18.70 FTEs. These included 2.00 Drug Court Coordinators and 2.00 Juvenile Probation Counselors (1.00 of which is funded in the non-General Fund portion of the Superior Court's budget). Between them, these 4.00 FTEs manage the adult, juvenile offender and at-risk youth drug courts.
- The Chair's budget restores 1.00 Drug Court Coordinator and 1.5 Juvenile Probation Counselors to allow drug courts to operate at a reduced level (i.e., half level for the adult and at-risk youth, but full level for the juvenile offender drug court).
- The total FTE count above (which is not reconciled between what the Executive shows as the 2008 total and the Chair's changes) includes the 1.00 Network Administrator position that the Executive's proposed budget funded through DIS. A proposed budget note addresses this issue, making it clear that the position is under the human resources rules and authority of the Superior Court.
- The other eliminated positions include 2.00 Family Court Investigators, 1.00 Juvenile Community Corrections Officer, 4.00 Juvenile Services Assistants, 5.00 Juvenile Corrections Officer Leads, 1.00 Judicial Coordinator Supervisor, 1.00 Juvenile Program Coordinator and 1.00 Registered Nurse (actually two ½ time positions).
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the General Fund portion of the Superior Court is \$284,671. These savings are included in the expenditures in the table above. The Court will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$108,534.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b> Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b> Superior Court
	<b>Fund:</b> Non-General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$3,289,601	\$3,313,910	\$3,678,618	\$364,708	11.01%
Expenditures	\$3,289,601	\$3,313,910	\$3,678,618	\$364,708	11.01%
FTEs	36.696	37.696	37.160	(0.536)	-1.42%

### Explanation/Comments

- This budget is essentially as proposed by the Executive, the exception being the reduction of 1.00 Juvenile Probation Counselor position in the Community Corrections At-Risk Program.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the non-General Fund portion of the Superior Court is \$49,511. These savings are included in the expenditures in the table above. The Court will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b> Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b> District Court
	<b>Fund:</b> General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$7,742,937	\$7,742,937	\$8,229,115	\$486,178	6.28%
Expenditures	\$6,965,289	\$6,965,289	\$6,994,323	\$29,034	0.42%
FTEs	75.000	74.000	74.000	0.000	0.00%

### Explanation/Comments

- The Chair's budget reflects changes to the Court's revenue projection. This includes adjustments based upon YTD 2008 actuals (January through October) as well as increases due to 4.00 additional Deputy Sheriffs assigned to the Traffic Enforcement Unit and continuation of the Civil Infraction Management Project within the Prosecuting Attorney's Office.
- The District Court and Probation were credited for increased infraction revenue and both were held to a 1% reduction target during that phase of the budget process. The reductions were taken in overtime, extra-help, supplies, office equipment, lodging, and dues and subscriptions.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for District Court is \$131,173. These savings are identified in the expenditures in the table above. The Court will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$35,147.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b> Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b> District Court Probation
	<b>Fund:</b> General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$1,834,466	\$1,834,466	\$1,786,619	(\$47,847)	-2.61%
Expenditures	\$1,411,592	\$1,411,592	\$1,540,546	\$128,954	9.14%
FTEs	16.500	17.500	17.500	0.000	0.00%

**Explanation/Comments**

- The Chair's budget reflects changes to the Probation revenue projection. This includes adjustments based upon YTD 2008 actuals (January through October).
- The District Court and Probation were credited for increased infraction revenue and both were held to a 1% reduction target during that phase of the budget process. The reductions were taken in overtime, supplies, mileage, lodging, and training.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for Probation is \$36,432. These savings are identified in the expenditures in the table above. The Court will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$7,741.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b>	District Court DRC
		<b>Fund:</b>	General

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget			
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008	
Revenues	\$152,175	\$152,175	\$155,000	\$2,825	1.86%	
Expenditures	\$152,175	\$152,174	\$155,000	\$2,826	1.86%	

### **Explanation/Comments**

- The Chair's budget is based upon the estimated surcharge collection on the filing fees for both civil and small claims action in the District Court (as allowed by RCWs 3.62.060 and 12.40.020 and SCC 2.550.060).
- The County contracts with the Volunteers of America for the dispute resolution services. The contract amount is based upon the previous year's surcharge collection.
- Included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$775.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b> Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b> Prosecuting Attorney
	<b>Fund:</b> General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$533,280	\$533,280	\$1,754,406	\$1,221,126	228.98%
Expenditures	\$15,209,082	\$15,209,082	\$14,389,370	(\$819,712)	-5.39%
FTEs	138.750	138.750	129.500	(9.250)	-6.67%

### Explanation/Comments

- The Chair's budget includes a significant revenue increase:
  - ✓ An operating transfer from Family Support Services in the amount of \$1,015,531 – this is administration and overhead funding that has accumulated for several years and which should have been moved to the General Fund earlier;
  - ✓ An operating transfer in the amount of \$94,000 from Surface Water Management for the anticipated NPDES work in 2009;
  - ✓ An operating transfer in the amount of \$72,264 from Fund 506 in anticipation of the additional employment law work that will be required in 2009; and
  - ✓ An operating transfer in the amount of \$86,453 from the Narcotics Grant funds to support the Deputy Prosecuting Attorney (DPA) work done for the Regional Drug Task Force.
  
- To meet the 9% reduction target, the Prosecutor proposed significant FTE reductions: a 0.50 DPA in the Civil Division and 4.50 DPAs, 2.75 Legal Secretaries, 0.50 Law Office Assistant and a 0.50 Diversion Counselor in the Criminal Division.
  
- However, with the additional funding, the Chair's budget includes the following changes:
  - ✓ Eliminates 1.00 Law Office Assistant in the Civil Division
  - ✓ Eliminates 3.00 DPAs and 2.00 Legal Secretary in the Criminal Division
  - ✓ Moves 2.00 DPAs, 1.00 Victim Advocate and 1.00 Legal Secretary to grant funding in the non-General Fund portion of the office
  - ✓ Retains the Civil Infraction Management Project and increases the 0.50 DPA to 1.00 and the Legal Secretary from 0.75 to 1.00
  
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the General Fund portion of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office is \$384,842. These savings are included in the expenditures in the table above. The Prosecutor will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
  
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$71,944.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b>	Prosecuting Attorney
		<b>Fund:</b>	Non-General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$4,112,839	\$4,156,639	\$5,301,290	\$1,144,651	27.54%
Expenditures	\$5,810,617	\$5,854,417	\$7,228,162	\$1,373,745	23.47%
FTEs	56.000	58.000	61.000	3.000	5.17%

### **Explanation/Comments**

- The Chair's budget restores the 1.00 DPA, 1.00 Legal Assistant and 0.50 Paralegal eliminated in the Executive's proposal for Fund 506. It also moves 1.00 DPA and 1.00 Legal Secretary to the Auto Task Force grant, 1.00 DPA to the Registered Sex Offender grant and 1.00 Victim Advocate to the Victim Witness Fund.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the non-General Fund portion of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office is \$156,498. These savings are included in the expenditures in the table above. The Prosecutor will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b>	Corrections
		<b>Fund:</b>	General

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$10,869,294	\$10,869,294	\$12,422,612	\$1,553,318	14.29%
Expenditures	\$37,003,050	\$38,197,825	\$38,524,035	\$326,210	0.85%
FTEs	362.100	362.100	337.400	(24.700)	-6.82%

### Explanation/Comments

- If Corrections had met their full 9% reduction target, that would have triggered a number of policy decisions as well as the closing of two detention modules. Instead, the Law and Justice agencies (including the Superior and District Court, Prosecuting Attorney, Sheriff, Office of Public Defense, Public Defenders Agency, and Corrections) have been meeting regularly to address systemwide issues that impact jail population. Based upon that, the 2009 revenues for Corrections assume the ability to rent at least 128 beds more than the original estimate in the Executive's proposed budget – this equates to an additional \$2.475 million for the April 1<sup>st</sup> to December 31<sup>st</sup> period. A budget note is proposed requesting that the Council be provided monthly reports on jail population issues and systemwide operational efficiencies.
- Even with the revenue increase, Corrections will have a net 23.50 FTE reduction (1.20 FTEs will now be paid from the Commissary Fund). The eliminated positions include: 1.00 Captain, 1.00 Sergeant, 6.00 Custody Officers, 2.00 Control Room Officers, 2.00 Corrections Assistants, 2.00 Booking Assistants, 4.00 Counselors, 2.00 Mental Health Practitioners, 0.50 Psychiatrist, 1.00 Programs Operations Analyst, 1.00 Programs Assistant, and 1.00 Senior Secretary.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the Corrections Bureau is \$43,639. These savings are identified in the expenditures in the table above. The Sheriff will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$193,588.
- Another proposed budget note requests that the Corrections Bureau maintain a viable inmate labor program and provide quarterly reports on the program's status.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b>	Corrections
		<b>Fund:</b>	Commissary

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$642,460	\$642,460	\$707,312	\$64,852	10.09%
Expenditures	\$642,460	\$642,460	\$707,312	\$64,852	10.09%
FTEs	1.000	1.000	2.200	1.200	120.00%

**Explanation/Comments**

- Due to the health of the Commissary Fund, it can now support the FTE level required for operation: 2.00 Corrections Assistant and a 0.20 Finance Supervisor.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b> Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b> Office of Public Defense
	<b>Fund:</b> General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$1,261,439	\$1,261,439	\$1,283,762	\$22,323	1.77%
Expenditures	\$6,702,781	\$6,702,781	\$6,945,407	\$242,626	3.62%
FTEs	7.000	7.000	8.000	1.000	14.29%

**Explanation/Comments**

- The Chair's budget maintains the position added in the Executive's proposed budget due to the transfer of the juvenile attorney management workload from Superior Court.
- To meet the 9% target, the Office of Public Defense (OPD) proposed reductions to the attorney fees paid to the Public Defenders Agency – these reductions appear reasonable if caseloads continue at the current levels.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for OPD is \$22,246. These savings are identified in the expenditures in the table above. The office will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$34,902.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b> Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b> Medical Examiner
	<b>Fund:</b> General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$108,414	\$108,414	\$113,122	\$4,708	4.34%
Expenditures	\$2,048,948	\$2,048,948	\$1,846,144	(\$202,804)	-9.90%
FTEs	14.000	14.000	14.000	0.000	0.00%

### Explanation/Comments

- To meet the 9% reduction target, the Medical Examiner proposed reducing a full-time transcriptionist to half-time. The Chair's budget maintains the position at full-time. The remaining proposals were accepted regarding reducing overtime, deleting extra-help, reducing supplies and maintaining the current vehicles rather than replacing with hybrids.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the Medical Examiner's Office is \$14,000. These savings are identified in the expenditures in the table above. The office will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$8,959.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b> Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b> Clerk
	<b>Fund:</b> General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$3,286,261	\$3,286,261	\$3,506,634	\$220,373	6.71%
Expenditures	\$6,896,551	\$6,677,551	\$6,676,922	(\$629)	-0.01%
FTEs	88.450	88.450	79.600	(8.850)	-10.01%

### **Explanation/Comments**

- As part of the 9% reduction strategy, the Clerk identified \$138,709 in new revenue over what was submitted to the Executive. This is included in the 2009 total above.
- To meet the expenditure target, the Clerk proposed a 6.975 FTE reduction in addition to the 3.875 eliminated by the Executive. Based upon the new revenue, the Chair's budget adds back 2.00 FTEs – one each in the Judicial Accounting and Case Management Divisions. These two positions will assist the Clerk with meeting the statutory requirements of her office.
- The eliminated positions include: 6.60 Judicial Process Assistants II, .375 Family Court Facilitator, 1.00 Administrative Specialist and 1.00 Office Assistant II.
- Additional reductions were made to travel, training, extra-help, and supplies.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the Clerk's Office is \$140,754. These savings are included in the expenditures above. The Clerk's Office will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$32,491.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b>	Human Services
		<b>Fund:</b>	General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a
Expenditures	\$4,974,857	\$4,974,857	\$3,545,727	(\$1,429,130)	-28.73%
FTEs	20.500	20.500	17.500	(3.000)	-14.63%

### **Explanation/Comments**

- The Executive's proposed budget reduced expenditures by over 24%. The Chair's budget makes the following changes:
  - ✓ Includes \$212,581 as an operating transfer from the General Fund to Fund 124 in order to support the continuation of Project Self-Sufficiency and the restoration of 2.00 Counselor positions;
  - ✓ Includes \$21,740 as an operating transfer from the General Fund to Fund 124 to support the DUI Countermeasure Program and the restoration of 0.50 Coordinator position;
  - ✓ Deletes the \$344,917 operating transfer from the General Fund to Fund 124 that supported Drug Court Treatment (a portion of this funding will be appropriated directly to Superior Court);
  - ✓ Deletes the \$33,150 operating transfer from General Fund to Fund 124 for the Early Childhood Education and Assistance Program (ECEAP) since it would obligate a nearly \$133,000 General Fund commitment in 2010 (there is a proposed budget note that requests Council, Executive and Human Services staff to try to secure viable funding to increase ECEAP availability); and
  - ✓ Reduces the operating transfer from the General Fund to Cooperative Extension by \$10,000 (a net of a \$5,000 increase for Noxious Weeds and a \$15,000 decrease for the Master Gardener program).
  
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the General Fund portion of Human Services is \$43,232. These savings are included in the expenditures above. The department will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the DDS budget note.
  
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$17,253.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b>	Human Services
		<b>Fund:</b>	Non-General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$30,128,863	n/a	\$27,051,212	(\$3,077,651)	-10.21%
Expenditures	\$30,128,863	n/a	\$27,051,212	(\$3,077,651)	-10.21%
FTEs	196.025	196.025	198.525	2.500	1.28%

### **Explanation/Comments**

- The Executive's proposed budget reduced Fund 124 by nearly 9%. The Chair's budget makes the following changes:
  - ✓ Adds \$212,581 as an operating transfer from the General Fund to support 2.00 FTEs in Project Self-Sufficiency. A proposed budget note also details the Council's intent that this program will continue at the 2008 participant level in 2009 and beyond;
  - ✓ Adds \$36,490 (\$21,740 as an operating transfer from the General Fund and \$14,750 from a \$5 fee increase) to support a .50 Coordinator for the DUI Victim Panel;
  - ✓ Moves the Drug Court Treatment funding (proposed as a \$344,917 operating transfer from the General Fund) out of Human Services;
  - ✓ Reduces Cooperative Extension funding by \$10,000; and
  - ✓ Eliminates the \$33,150 operating transfer from the General Fund to the Early Childhood Education and Assistance Program General Fund.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for non-General Fund portion of Human Services is \$281,613. These savings are included in the expenditures above. The department will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the DDS budget note.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Susan Neely
<b>Department:</b>	Department of Emergency Management
<b>Fund:</b>	Non-General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$3,009,716	\$3,009,716	\$2,561,828	(\$447,888)	-14.88%
Expenditures	\$3,009,716	\$3,009,716	\$2,561,828	(\$447,888)	-14.88%
FTEs	5.600	5.600	6.600	1.000	17.86%

### Explanation/Comments

- The non-General Fund portion of the Department of Emergency Management reflects a decrease in overall grant funding – especially from Homeland Security.
- The revenue does include a \$70,000 operating transfer from Public Works (Roads and Surface Water Management) for flood coordination work.
- The FTE increase is due to the transfer of the General Fund position.
- The expenditures reflect the increased salary and benefits for the additional position, offset by decreases in extra-help, professional services and capital equipment.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for the non-General Fund portion of DEM is \$22,572. These savings are included in the expenditures in the table above. The department will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>  Susan Neely	<b>Department of Emergency Management</b> <b>Department:</b> <b>Fund:</b> General Fund
------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$224,665	\$224,665	\$169,398	(\$55,267)	-24.60%
Expenditures	\$988,814	\$988,814	\$953,030	(\$35,784)	-3.62%
FTEs	6.000	6.000	5.000	(1.000)	-16.67%

### Explanation/Comments

- To meet the 9% reduction target, the Department of Emergency Management (DEM) proposed eliminating repair and maintenance, travel, staff training and miscellaneous expenditures, as well as reducing supplies, telephone and fuel expenditures. The Chair's budget restores the repair and maintenance and miscellaneous expenditure categories, as well as some supply money.
- The FTE reduction reflects the DEM's proposal to the Executive to move one position to grant funding.
- The Department Directed Savings (DDS) for Emergency Management is \$17,100. These savings are identified in the expenditures in the table above. The department will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per the Department Directed Savings budget note.
- Also included in the expenditure total is an assumed ½ percent underexpenditure in the amount of \$4,789.

2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Marcia Isenberg/ Susan Neely	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Emergency Services Communications</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>156</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$6,839,087	\$6,839,087	\$8,053,553	\$1,214,466	17.76%
Expenditures	\$6,839,087	\$6,839,087	\$8,053,553	\$1,214,466	17.76%
FTEs	3.00	3.00	0.00	(3.00)	-100.00%

**Explanation/Comments**

- Transfers 3 Executive FTEs from Emergency Services Communications back to the Executive's Offices: E-911 Manager, Emergency Services Coordinator, and E-911 GIS Analyst. The positions will continue to be funded from Fund 156 revenues.
- All other expenditures for Emergency Services remain in the Fund 156 portion of the Non-departmental budget.
- The revenue increase is due to several factors: a 51% increase in the ending fund balance for 2008 (a \$1.16 million increase), a 5% decrease in the assumption of the switched line tax revenue (a \$95,000 decrease), a 20% increase in the wireless line tax revenue (a \$520,000 increase) and 91% decrease in investment earnings (a \$100,000 decrease).
- The increased ending fund balance was planned due to the extension of the IP-capable E-911 system (needed to ensure that the County can adapt to the rapidly changing technologies and for which the Council approved a contract amendment in late August increasing the dollar amount and extending the contract period with the consultant helping to develop the technical specifications for the project RFP).
- The expenditures reflect the IP project anticipated completion in 2009 – both in terms of what E-911 expects to spend (\$2.4 million) and the SNOCOM and SNO PAC increased one-time costs.
- There is also funding to update the countywide E-911 mapping system.

2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Amos	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Executive – Economic Development</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>General Fund</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$258,000	\$258,000	-
Expenditures	\$440,099	\$440,099	\$710,477	\$270,378	61.44%
FTEs	2.25	2.25	3.80	1.55	68.89%

**Explanation/Comments**

1. The 2009 Chair's budget includes the transfer of 1 FTE, \$273 thousand in added expenditures and \$258 thousand of added revenues from the Community Development Fund.
2. The added FTE's included 1 FTE in the Agricultural Sustainability Program and numerous fractional FTE's totaling .55 FTE that were reallocated to the general fund supported programs.
3. In order to balance the Chair's Budget proposal for General Fund supported Economic Development activities to the new revenue and the 2008 budget level reduced by 9%, it was necessary to take additional reduction as follows:
  - a. As part of the 9% reduction proposal the Executive recommended the elimination One of the FTE's transferred to the General Fund to support the agricultural sustainability program.. This was a project position adopted for two years and was slated to end in 2008.
  - b. Also as part of the 9% reduction package the Executive recommended reductions of \$32.5 thousand for professional services.
  - c. The following reductions were not included in the 9% reduction package, but were included as part of the 7% reduction package:
    - i. Extra Help funding for \$20 thousand.
    - ii. Supplies Funding of \$14 thousand.

It was necessary to take these additional reductions in order to meet a 2009 funding level consistent with the same requirements that had been placed on other general fund departments.

2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Amos	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Executive – Economic Development</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>Other Funds</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$2,598,752	\$2,598,752	\$2,497,481	(\$101,271)	-3.90%
Expenditures	\$3,760,120	\$3,760,120	\$2,497,481	(\$1,262,639)	-33.58%
FTEs	3.75	3.75	1.20	(2.55)	-68.00%

**Explanation/Comments**

1. The reduction of funding from 2008 adopted is largely explained by the transfer of program expenditure that were funded in the Community Development Fund to the General Fund. 1.55 of these FTE's are included in the Chair's General Fund Budget for Economic Development,
2. Local Hotel Motel Tax is also declining by \$300 thousand.
3. The 2008 Adopted budget included the use of \$347 thousand in fund balance that will not be repeated in 2009.

# PANEL 3

**2009 Budget Summary Sheet**

<b>Analyst:</b>	Sharie Freemantle	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Finance</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>General Fund</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$293,188	\$293,188	\$380,951	\$87,763	29.9%
Expenditures	\$3,337,065	\$3,337,065	\$3,327,442	(\$9,623)	(0.29%)
FTEs	29.20	29.20	28.20	(1.00)	(3.42%)

**Explanation/Comments**

1. Expenditures include Historical Preservation Program operating transfer which will go into a special revenue fund for this purpose. As a result, the expenditures listed above include about \$257k that is not truly a Finance Department appropriation. When adjusted for that fact, the 2009 expenditures are 8% below 2008 appropriations.
2. Other reductions include moving towards requesting information to be accessed online rather than producing same level of printed materials, and a material decrease in dues, conferences, registrations, travel and training.
3. This budget also includes Department Directed Savings in the amount of \$85k+, and an additional underexpenditure assumption of \$16k+.
4. Several Financial System upgrades are on track for 2009. which will eventually bring efficiencies and savings as well.

2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Amos	<b>Department:</b>	Human Resources
		<b>Fund:</b>	General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$457,505	\$457,505	\$389,313	(68,192)	-14.91%
Expenditures	\$1,655,475	\$1,655,475	\$1,452,940	(202,535)	-12.23%
FTEs	16.00	16.00	14.10	(1.90)	-11.88%

**Explanation/Comments**

1. The revenue decrease reflects a reduction in the transfer from the Employee benefits fund to support Admin Costs.
2. The FTE decrease is a reduction of 1.4 FTE's department wide. The additional half FTE reduced in the general fund is offset by a .5 FTE increase in funding provided for the non general fund sources.
3. One FTE reduction will result in the two year project position to stabilize HighLine being eliminated a year early. As a result of the reduction, HR will no longer be able to support HighLine testing.
4. The .4 FTE was recommended by the Executive as part of the 9% reduction. The position is currently vacant, but will result have a negative impact on service delivery.
5. The department also included \$5500 in other reductions to professional services and supplies as part of their 9% reduction.

2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Amos	<b>Department:</b>	Human Resources
		<b>Fund:</b>	Other Funds

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$339,142	\$339,142	\$337,627	(\$1,515)	-0.45%
Expenditures	\$558,874	\$558,874	\$594,250	\$35,376	6.33%
FTEs	4.50	4.50	5.00	0.50	11.11%

**Explanation/Comments**

1. FTE increase results from a transfer of .5 FTE from General Fund to Employee Benefits fund. This results from an allocation of workload to the other funds.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Geoffrey Thomas	<b>Office:</b>	<b>Auditor</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>General Fund</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$8,899,972	\$8,899,972	\$9,241,802	\$341,830	3.84%
Expenditures	\$9,621,799	\$9,621,799	\$7,818,277	(\$1,803,522)	-18.74%
FTEs	53.50	53.50	49.00	(4.50)	-8.41%

### Explanation/Comments

1. The Department Directed Savings for the Auditor's Office is \$114,116. These savings are identified in the expenditures in the table above. The Auditor's Office will identify savings in this amount and will submit reports to Council per a Directed Savings budget note.
2. The reduction of FTEs is summarized as follows: 1.0 FTE – Licensing and Gambling Tax Auditor; 0.5 FTE – Licensing Examiner I (vacant position); 1.0 FTE – Elections Ballot Design and Community Collection; and 2.0 FTEs – Legal Document Recording Examiners I/II (vacant positions).
3. Reduction in expenditures include:
  - a. General reductions in overtime, extra help, supplies, contract mail service, communications, telephone, travel costs, and other general operational and maintenance related items.
  - b. Elimination of a countywide elections related mailing.
  - c. Reductions in community collection centers for ballots from 20 throughout the county, to one in each council district for a total of 5. Reductions in the number of accessible voting sites from 10 throughout the county to one in Everett and in Lynnwood, saving approximately \$100,000.
  - d. Reductions of \$46,020 by eliminating the pet canvassing program, which is expected to reduce revenues by approximately \$35,000.
4. Revenue increases include:
  - a. Increases from the odd-numbered election year, where cities and special districts pay more for elections than in even years.
  - b. Increased fees for animal licenses, citizen drop-off shelter fees, anticipated code enforcement related revenues, and various increases in recording fees all totaling approximately \$100,000.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Sharie Freemantle	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Assessor</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>General Fund</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$136,376	\$136,376	\$175,774	\$39,398	28.9%
Expenditures	\$8,031,337	\$8,031,337	\$7,382,356	(\$648,981)	(8.08%)
FTEs	74.475	74.475	67.85	(6.625)	(8.9%)

### Explanation/Comments

1. Eliminates an Exemption Coordinator, Assessment Tech, CAMA Tech, Auditor Appraiser Tech, Office Assistant and a Land Segregation Tech.
2. The department budget includes Department Directed Savings in the amount of \$171,766, and an additional underexpenditure assumption of \$37,097.
3. Loss of the Assessment Techs will impact the customer service work and processing of sales information.
4. To contribute to the overall General Fund reductions necessary to address our revenue shortfalls, the Assessor presented additional reductions:
  - Decrease in Overtime and Extra Help which will mean shifting segregation work to appraisers in order to deal with the backlog
  - Delay in replacement of small equipment and furniture
  - Eliminate the outside program maintenance and transfer that responsibility to DIS, resulting in a savings of \$6,475
  - Changing vendors for printing, saving \$8,075
  - Postpone machinery & equipment replacements, saving \$20,225
  - Miscellaneous other reductions in training, education and dues.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Marcia Isenberg	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Council</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>002</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$1,046	\$1,046	\$2,188	\$1,142	109.18%
Expenditures	\$3,204,940	\$3,668,946	\$3,324,461	(\$344,485)	-9.39%
FTEs	23.00	27.25	25.25	(2.00)	-7.34%

### Explanation/Comments

1. Eliminates 1 FTE Legislative Analyst position that was added in 2008 to address transportation issues.
2. Eliminates 1 FTE Public Information Officer.
3. The department budget includes Department Directed Savings in the amount of \$71,863, and an additional underexpenditure assumption of \$15,206.
4. Charges out a portion of state lobbyist contract to Roads, Airport & SWM following the methodology used to charge out the federal lobbyist contract.
5. Makes other reductions in the following accounts:
  - Supplies
  - Software
  - Professional Services
  - Communications
  - Telephone – Outside
  - GMA Postage and GMA Printing
  - Mileage & Travel
  - Meals and Lodging
  - Lobbyist per diem
  - Miscellaneous
  - Registration Fees
  - Dues & Subscriptions
  - Machinery & Equipment

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Marcia Isenberg	<b>Department:</b>	Hearing Examiner
		<b>Fund:</b>	002

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$277,162	\$277,162	\$52,833	(\$224,329)	-81%
Expenditures	\$544,060	\$544,060	\$528,626	(\$15,434)	-2.84%
FTEs	3.75	3.75	3.75	0.00	0.00%

### Explanation/Comments

- Assumes the Deputy Hearing Examiner position will not be filled before March 1, 2009, but no positions are eliminated from the department in an effort to reduce the case backlog and the reliance on pro tem examiners.
- Department budget total includes a Department Directed Savings amount of \$14,136 and an additional underexpenditure of \$3,770.
- Revenue assumptions were modified to more closely reflect actual collection rates.

**2009 Budget Summary Sheet**

<b>Analyst:</b>	Marcia Isenberg	<b>Department:</b>	Performance Auditor
		<b>Fund:</b>	002

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Expenditures	\$185,140	\$185,140	\$181,522	(\$3,618)	-1.95%
FTEs	1.75	1.75	1.75	0.00	0.00%

**Explanation/Comments**

- The Chair's budget assumes that a vacant 0.75 Management Auditor position will not be filled before May 1, 2009.
- The department budget total includes a Department Directed Savings amount of \$4,160 and an additional underexpenditure amount of \$2,584.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Marcia Isenberg	<b>Department:</b>	Executive & EEO
		<b>Fund:</b>	002 & 156

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%
GF Expenditures	\$2,346,927	\$2,421,226	\$1,630,008	(791,218)	-32.68%
Fund 156 Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$266,578	266,578	n/a
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,346,927</b>	<b>\$2,421,226</b>	<b>\$1,896,586</b>	<b>(524,640)</b>	<b>-21.67%</b>
FTEs	15.00	16.00	13.00	(3.0)	-18.75%

### Explanation/Comments

- Eliminates 2 FTE Executive Management Analyst positions.
- Eliminates 3 FTE Administrative Assistant positions.
- Eliminates 1 FTE Executive Office Administrator position.
- Transfers back 3 Executive FTEs from Emergency Services (fund 156): E-911 Manager, Emergency Services Coordinator, and E-911 GIS Analyst. The positions will continue to be funded from Fund 156 revenues.
- All other expenditures for Emergency Services remain in the Fund 156 portion of the Non-departmental budget.
- The department budget includes Department Directed Savings of \$28,838 for the Executive Office, \$4,160 for the EEO division, and \$8,714 for Emergency Services. Additional underexpenditure assumptions of \$7,527 for the Executive and \$664 for EEO are also reflected in the totals.
- Reduces expenditures for merit increases, supplies, travel, mileage, registrations and training.
- Includes \$75,000 for labor negotiation costs.
- \$285,000 of the reductions shown for 2009 reflect a decrease in professional services costs for labor negotiations. In 2008 the Executive budget included \$360,000 in professional services for labor negotiation services and outside legal counsel. For 2009 a total of \$150,000 is budgeted for that purpose -- \$75,000 in the Executive Office for negotiation services and \$75,000 in the Prosecuting Attorney's Office for legal counsel. Without that change the reductions in the Executive budget would have been 20.9% in the general fund and 9.9% overall.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Sharie Freemantle	<b>Department:</b>	Nondepartmental
		<b>Fund:</b>	General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$142,735,307	\$145,237,440	\$134,320,598	(\$10,916,842)	(7.51%)
Expenditures	\$9,470,114	\$8,742,020	\$9,833,090	\$1,091,050	12.48%
FTEs	0	0	0	0	0

### Explanation/Comments

1. This department generally maintains appropriations for programs which cross departments or which are not attributable to any particular department.
2. Revenue decrease reflects significant amendments of some major revenue sources; revised estimates were reached in agreement with Finance staff. They include:
  - Sales Tax and L&J Sales Tax (\$6m)
  - REET Admin Fees (\$1.2m)

Underexpenditure assumption of \$3m has been removed from the Revenues for consistency in account purposes. Underexpenditure is an assumption that expenditures will be less than anticipated, and is more appropriately considered in the Expenditures budget.
3. Primary increase in expenditures is the provision for COLA for most general fund departments.
4. Appropriations for labor negotiations and legal services consultant contracts were transferred out of Nondepartmental and into the Executive and Prosecuting Attorney budgets (\$150k).
5. Executive and Council contingencies were reduced by 50%, a decrease of \$80k total. Contribution of \$80k to the Economic Development Council was eliminated as well, in consideration of an increased emphasis and focus on the county's internal economic development program.
6. Outbound Trade Mission appropriation of \$40k was eliminated. There are a number of dues increases to outside agencies including WSAC, PSRC, NACO, and others.
7. A savings of \$330k is included in this program in the Communications line as a result of DIS's expected implementation of a managed print solution.

2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Amos	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Nondepartmental – Health District Transfer</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>General Fund / Solid Waste Fee</b>

General Fund

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Expenditures	\$3,119,187	\$3,119,187	\$3,253,200	\$134,013	4.30%
FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%

Solid Waste

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	In 2009	\$ Change from 2008	% Change from 2008
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Expenditures	\$525,000	\$525,000	\$754,000	\$229,000	43.62%
FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%

**Explanation/Comments**

1. The Health District transfer is \$134 thousand above the 2008 level. Even at this level the Health District is expecting significant reductions to their 2008 funding level in 2009.
2. The Solid Waste Fee is expected to increase in 2009 by \$229 thousand dollars. This is a result of a thorough review of the cost of services provided under the terms of the MOU between the Health District and Solid Waste. After concluding the review the Health District agreed to the new fee. The added funding will pay for Solid Waste related services that were being paid for by other Health District funds. The effect of the payment will free up Health District funds to support other programs
3. If the Health District General Fund and Solid Waste fee remain at the level in the proposed striker, the District's 2009 will be able to include:
  - a. Full funding for the First Steps Home Visits program. This program provides over 4000 home visits to high risk, low income woman and children.;
  - b. Full funding to continue operation of the STD clinic which treats approximately 2000 high risk clients.
  - c. Limit reductions to the Childcare Health Program that serves childcare providers serving 21000 children.
4. Even with the added County funding, the Health District 2009 budget is expected to eliminate 24.4 FTE's in their 2009 budget. 16.15 of these FTE's are currently filled position. The remaining 8.25 are vacant. The 2009 program reductions will be about \$1.2 million after adjusting for related revenue losses.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Sharie Freemantle	<b>Department:</b>	<b>Treasurer</b>
		<b>Fund:</b>	<b>General Fund</b>

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$14,290,517	\$14,290,517	\$11,549,752	(\$2,740,765)	(19.2%)
Expenditures	\$3,118,508	\$3,258,508	\$2,958,425	(\$300,083)	(9.21%)
FTEs	30.50	30.50	27.50	(3.00)	(9.84%)

**Explanation/Comments**

1. Significant decline in financial market nationwide, combined with lower cash balances to invest and the liquidation of the Snohomish County Investment Pool in December 2007 all contributed to a \$2m reduction in investment interest earnings for 2009 over original projections. A similar loss of investment interest earnings was experienced in 2008 as well.
2. The FTE decrease is the department's contribution to reducing General Fund expenditures to address the decline in revenues. This budget eliminates two Excise Clerks and one Office Assistant position.
3. The elimination of these positions will require basically discontinuing the passport program service. Revenue loss from eliminating passport services is about \$30k per year; cost savings is \$160k. Citizens will be able obtain this service at other locations in the county.
4. This budget also includes Department Directed Savings in the amount of \$57,473, and an additional underexpenditure assumption of \$15,155.
5. Department will purchase Ascend Webware in 2009, at a cost of \$100k which will be funded by the Treasurers Trust Fund. No net general fund impact.

## 2009 Budget Summary Sheet

<b>Analyst:</b>	Sharie Freemantle	<b>Department:</b>	Nondepartmental
		<b>Fund:</b>	Real Estate Excise Tax

	2008 Budget		2009 Chair's Budget		
	Adopted	Amended	2009	Change from 2008	Change from 2008
Revenues	\$23,303,652	\$15,893,610	\$15,070,154	(\$7,410,042)	(31.8%)
Expenditures	\$23,303,652	\$15,893,610	\$15,070,154	(\$7,410,042)	(31.8%)
FTEs	0	0	0	0	0

### Explanation/Comments

1. The significant decline in housing activity was seen by a material drop in REET collections by the beginning of the second quarter in 2008. As a result, REET projects were adjusted downwards to reflect the decrease in activity.
2. According to Finance and Planning experts, the slowdown will continue through much of 2009 and may pick up late in the year. The 2009 revised REET revenue projections are about \$13.2m. The difference between the actual REET revenues budgeted and the amount in the table above, is due to the use of available REET reserve funds to supplement the lower REET revenues projected.
3. Many REET funded projects were eliminated or deferred in 2008 to meet the lower revenues. REET I is entirely dedicated to debt service; REET II projects are similarly scaled back basically to a status quo level that ensures funding for projects already committed to or under way. There is funding included in 2009 to restore the Cavalero Hill project, should actual revenues by the end of 2008 be sufficient to provide for it.